



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_26848
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilateral combined retinal vascular occlusion following treated non-Hodgkins lymphoma
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- I have read with interest your manuscript. - It unfortunately has many grammatical and spelling errors. - The title of the page can be changed because the case describe not only retinal vascular occlusion - Keywords: 4-8 keywords should be given. Authors have cited only two. you also control your keywords from www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MBrowser.html. you should change your keywords - In abstract section line 8: the abbreviation should be defined clearly - Please clarify the sentence "....., was found to have a mixed central retinal artery and central retinal vein obstruction. - Please explain the " sequential acute loss..." - Figure's abbreviations used in the illustrations should be defined clearly. (Montage of right fundus??)	-grammatical and spelling errors corrected -Title modified -key words added -abbreviation expanded -sentences modified -figure legends modified
Optional/General comments		