



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                              |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>                             |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_OR_26848</b>                                                                           |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Bilateral combined retinal vascular occlusion following treated non-Hodgkins lymphoma</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Case study</b>                                                                            |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma is presented in different typing in this manuscript. Please unify.</p> <p>Abbreviations, such as CHOP must be explained at the first mentioning.</p> <p>The patients were seen by an ophthalmologist one day after the sudden visual lost. Why?</p> <p>Page 4, line 129-131: These four case reports must be referenced in this paper.</p> <p>The English is very poor throughout the manuscript. It has to be fixed by a professional service.</p> | <p>Modified accordingly</p> <p>CHOP abbreviation expanded</p> <p>History corrected</p> <p>References added and discussion modified</p> <p>English revised</p>                               |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | <p>This case report is interesting, but must be significantly improved before acceptance for publication.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                             |