



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_26569
Title of the Manuscript:	Optic Disc Drusen, Important Detail In The Differential Diagnosis Of Optic Disc Edema
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The discussion should include your case findings and relevance to other similar case reports/studies.	We added our case findings in discussion and case report We added relevance to other similar case reports/studies (reference 5,6,7) Thank you for great comments
Minor REVISION comments	An English language proof-reading/editing would benefit the quality of the manuscript.	We controlled and fixed grammar, punctuation and spelling mistakes Thank you for great comments
Optional/General comments	An interesting case report covering salient features of optic disc drusen.	Thank you for great comments