



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_25632
Title of the Manuscript:	Ocular ischemic syndrome associated with primary hyperparathyroidism
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Grammar and spelling errors should be corrected in many sentences in the paper. Introduction Lines 20 to 24 ... reference should be given for these statements at the end of the sentence in line 24. Then, the serial number of references in the text as well as in references section should be corrected. Presentation of case Line 43 ... the number 6/30 should be written as 6/36. Conclusion Line 96 ... the words "a more should" be replaced with the word "but" to make it more meaningful. Figure 4 b (line 167) ... the findings in the carotid arteries should be pointed with arrows.	1. In the revised Manuscript, we have corrected grammatical errors in cooperation with professional English language editing services. 2. In Line 24 we have included the following reference: "Terelak-Borys B, Skonieczna K, Grabska-Liberek I. Ocular ischemic syndrome - a systematic review. Med Sci Monit. 2012 ;18:138-144. 3. The References have been rearranged in the revised Manuscript. 4. Under the Presentation of the Case heading, Line 43 ... a typographical error has been corrected to 6/36. 5. Line 96 ... the words "a more should" has been corrected to "but". 6. Figure 4b, represents an atherosclerotic plaque which has been marked by an arrow.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This interesting case report is well written with lot of supporting figures and references.	Thank You for the positive comments in reference to our work.