



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_25581
Title of the Manuscript:	SPONTANEOUS EVOLUTION OF LAMELLAR MACULAR HOLE INTO FULL THICKNESS MACULAR HOLE, AND RESOLUTION OF THE SAME, FOLLOWED BY OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Why did you give non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs into the patient's right eye? At the second examination of the patient, visual acuity worsening was observed. Why did you not advice vitrectomy? Was it the patient's choice?	"Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs into the patient's right eye"? – As the patient had cystic changes, NSAID was applied. The patient was advised that there was a choice of vitrectomy but had decided not to go through with it.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a well-documented, interesting case about a rare ophthalmological finding.	Thank you very much for your kind words and suggestions.