



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_25341
Title of the Manuscript:	Fuch's uveitis: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This study could not show 'something new' compared with previous studies. I believe that most of Ophthalmologist treated FU surgically following regular follow up as authors' have did. The article is well written in a language. The figures should be explained clearly and be indicated with arrows on each figure (especially fig 1 and 4).	I agree. Already placed the arrows in figure 1 and 4 and improved the legend of pictures 1 and 3.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		