



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_25341
Title of the Manuscript:	Fuch's uveitis: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Is there any typical age and sex for Fuch's uveitis, according to the literature? It is missing from the text. Line 88: please write "Pachymetry was 580 μm in OD and 579 μm in OS." instead of "Pachimetry was 580 in OD and 579 in OS." Additionally, English is very poor throughout the manuscript If Fuch's uveitis responds poorly to steroid therapy, as you wrote, why is it necessary to treat patient with steroid postoperatively for preventing inflammatory exacerbations?	The age and gender distribution is referred in line 21 and 22 I agree with the suggestion and have already made the change in the text Steroid postoperatively are better to produce a better recovery of the blood aqueous barrier
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is a well-documented case report which do not add much information than the known and available literature in its topic.	