



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_24972
Title of the Manuscript:	My experience with Levator Resection Surgery in Congenital Ptosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
All comments answered satisfactorily except discussion. Discussion: Line 135 ... the reference (Jones 1964) is not written under the references. The article published in 1959 will not show the paper reference published in 1964. Therefore, the details of this reference has to be written in reference section. After number 13 reference, the rest of the references (14 to 21) are not quoted in the discussion at all. Therefore, these should be removed. There is no point of writing references in the paper without quoting them anywhere in the paper. Whatever latest references published on this topic in the literature should be quoted and their results should be compared with this study. It does not matter with number of references. Even if they are few, it is ok. <i>Take the help of senior colleagues who have published paper in writing the discussion.</i> Line 234 ... Figure 5 should be put properly as the surgeon is doing operation. The eyelid spatula should be seen downwards and LPS muscle upwards (like in the operation).	Discussion has been rewritten. All the references have been corrected and quoted. Fig.5 has been reoriented.