



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_24946
Title of the Manuscript:	Barriers To The Second Eye Cataract Surgery Amongst The Rural Population Of Western Maharashtra, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In methods (page 4, line 84), you wrote that second eye surgery would be performed at “an appropriate time”. What does it mean exactly? What is the minimum waiting time in your system between the two surgeries? What about simultan/immediate sequential bilateral cataract surgery. Is it a possible option? A paragraph is worth for a discussion of this issue. Results and Discussion must be separated.	Appropriate time indicates the time when the patient becomes symptomatic, much before reaching the stage of complications. The minimum waiting time in between the two surgeries was 1.5 months. The scope of simultaneous / Immediate sequential bilateral cataract surgery has been added in the Discussion. Results and Discussion have been separated.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		