



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_24946
Title of the Manuscript:	Barriers To The Second Eye Cataract Surgery Amongst The Rural Population Of Western Maharashtra, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is a thoughtfully performed study that clearly reveals many aspects hindering the second eye surgery especially in the rural areas. Despite some advise, it could offer even a bit more solution possibilities. The language is fluent but would benefit from revision for small grammatical errors.	More solution possibilities: 1. Doctor should give a definite date for follow up so that second eye is taken up early for cataract surgery. 2. Patients can be reminded about their eye check up via phone call, message or email.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		