



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_23869
Title of the Manuscript:	Choroidal Thickness Evaluation In Pseudoexfoliation Syndrome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results section should be shortened and unnecessary or repeated sentences should be deleted. Because, the most of information is shown in the tables. The devices' brands should be shown with a city and country names in a parenthesis and the version of the device should also be shown in the materials and methods section.	RESPECTED REVIEWER I HAVE EDITED THE RESULT SECTION AND HAD MADE IS MORE PRECISE I have also written the full detail of devices brand .
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		