



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_23417
Title of the Manuscript:	Blue-Eyed Asian: A Case Report of Waardenburg Syndrome Type 1
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1-Please clarify the exact setting for this case report. 2- Please consider the genetic role in the discussion. 3- Please consider more details about ophthalmological assessment.	Thank you so much for your comments! We appreciate them. Below is a review of the changes done to the manuscript as you recommended. Setting- the patient's background and place of examination were briefly clarified. Patient came from Mindoro, Philippines and the examination setting was in Manila, Philippines. Genetics – the last 2 paragraphs of the Discussion regarding genetic role was revised and information/details were added Ophthalmological assessment –Some addition and revision was done in the first and second paragraphs of the Discussion section Others: - Deleted "e" from Waardeenburg - Added "n" in Canthi in the Introduction - Added "derma" in Leukoderma in Table 1 and in the Discussion - Added the word "and" in the Figure 1 caption - Added "h" in Tomography in the Presentation of the case - Added "e" in Heterogeneous in the Discussion - Added the word "type" in the first



SDI Review Form 1.6

		paragraph of the Discussion - Added "t" in Cephalometric and after it, added the word "measurements" (Discussion) - Last 2 sentences of the Conclusion was revised - In the References, added "t" in Phenotypic, "d" in Syndrome The no 3 reference was updated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Non	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some typographical errors should be corrected.	