



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_22108
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity of Novel Microsoft excel Software for Calculating Surgically Induced Astigmatism
	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- The language still needs careful revision: the articles, single-plural, hyphens (e.g. post-operative not post operative, vector-based not vector based), close to sth (lines 140-141, not closure to..., both OF these versions (line 175), commas (e.g. in the present calculator,(!) keratometric... (line 178), dots (e.g. et al.) etc. - T values should be p values?	Language has been thoroughly revised and corrected. T Values are the values calculated by one tailed and two tailed test to find out any comparative difference between the two means. If t value moves closure to zero then there would probably be not rejecting the null hypothesis on the other hand if it is moving towards greater value then the results more likely is against rejecting null hypothesis. In our study there is no difference between t values SIA calculators were compared and t value is closure to zero signifying that null hypothesis was not rejected means there was no difference between two methods of assessment of SIA. (Line 160-166) See P values in table 5 and line 19 and 20