



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_22108
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity of Novel Microsoft excel Software for Calculating Surgically Induced Astigmatism
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	* The structure would be more logical if it were upside-down. First, the presentation of past and current methods and then this newest one with details. Moreover, a summarizing table of different methods would make comparisons easier and would also help to see the added value of the new method. * Please, check the calculation on the row 75. * Variations of the mean age are different in the abstract and on the row 108. * Is the use of proposed formula really restricted to the Microsoft Office Excel software 2007? * The language needs to be revised. Currently, there are e.g. a lot of incomplete verbs, which disturbs reading. Check also the use of word "closure" and rephrase the sentences "Right eyes were 12 (52.17%) with 11 (47.23%) left eyes" (rows 110-111) and "Adjusted SIA magnitudes	Line 155-169 Line 171-192 See table 5 Line 194-256 corrected corrected line 57-58 corrected verbs completed removed corrected to resultant magnitude and axis



SDI Review Form 1.6

	calculated after removing minus component that showed SIA magnitude of 0.70 diopters that was not calculated from the previous SIA calculator.” (rows 156-157) to be clearer.	of SIA vector
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		