



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_20797
Title of the Manuscript:	Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in normal Indian pediatric population of north India measured with optical coherence tomography
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The numbers are very low to get statistics as noted in conclusion "variation in RNFL thickness is large" Introduction section should be followed by Methods section and "inclusion criteria is the subheading Male and female had similar distributions ($p > 0.05$ in each quadrant)? Limitation in time of the day in OCT exam? Limitation in axial length? Introduction section, last paragraph should be replaced in the method section and should have the last para for explaining the aim of the study in such ".....the role of inheritance as was in rural indian population but also normative data for evaluation of RNFL in retinal and optic nerve diseases in children " The results for age distribution in females and males? difference between right and left eyes ? difference between right and left eyes?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gungor Sobaci
Department, University & Country	Dept of Ophthalmology, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey