



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_20797
Title of the Manuscript:	Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in normal Indian pediatric population of north India measured with optical coherence tomography
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Why do the authors perform a paired student T test to analyze the differences between right and left eyes? Line 134: It is quite confuse the form of presentation of the RNFL thickness results. I suggest rewriting this paragraph leaving only the second sentence which demonstrates in numeric data the RNFL thickness.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The number of boys enrolled in this study was higher than the number of girls. Do the authors perform any statistical analysis to explore if this difference could influence the difference in RNFL thickness results? As the authors say that there was no statistical difference between genders (line 133) it would be most important to clarify this issue.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angel Nava-Castañeda
Department, University & Country	Oculopastic and Orbit Department, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico