



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_14477
Title of the Manuscript:	Optovue Optical Coherence Tomography as an Imaging Modality for Scleral Thickness
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I reviewed the manuscript entitled "Optovue Optical Coherence Tomography as an Imaging Modality for Scleral Thickness" The Authors reported the measurement results of scleral thickness values in patients (or subjects ??) via Anterior segment OCT. The presentation is very simple. Thus the current form is more suitable for "LETTER TO THE EDITOR" Moreover this kind of studies should aim the repeatability of the device. This topic should be considered for future revisions. Second, what was the rationale for conduction of this study? This should be clarified in the manuscript.	1 - We have changed "patients" to "subjects" (highlighted in yellow) throughout. Thank you for the suggestion. 2 - We would consider changing the format to a Short Research or Method Article (this journal does not publish Letters to the Editor, according to the Information for Authors), should the editor agree with this suggestion. 3 - We agree that future studies should focus on the repeatability of RTVue OCT. We have indicated such in the concluding remarks of the Discussion section (lines 91-94).
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		