



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_14477
Title of the Manuscript:	Optovue Optical Coherence Tomography as an Imaging Modality for Scleral Thickness
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																		
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Your manuscript is very interesting. However, I encourage you to change some points to improve your manuscript. Line 50, The two patients whose sex and age were unknown should be excluded because scleral thickness changes with aging. The table should be rewrite simpler as following. <table><tr><th>No</th><th>Sex</th><th>Age (y)</th><th>Race</th><th>Eye</th><th>Scleral Thickness (μm)</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>F</td><td>78</td><td>White</td><td>OD</td><td>725, 697, 688</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>OS</td><td>805, 788, 796</td></tr></table>	No	Sex	Age (y)	Race	Eye	Scleral Thickness (μm)	1	F	78	White	OD	725, 697, 688	2				OS	805, 788, 796	Thank you for the suggestions. We have removed the 2 patients of unknown age and gender and simplified Table 1 (line 11, line 54, and Table 1). The mean scleral thickness and mean standard deviation values have been updated (lines 12-13 and 58-59).
No	Sex	Age (y)	Race	Eye	Scleral Thickness (μm)															
1	F	78	White	OD	725, 697, 688															
2				OS	805, 788, 796															
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 12, The “micron” should be changed to “μm”.	Apologies, we have changed “micron” to “μm” (highlighted in yellow) throughout.																		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments																				