



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_14477
Title of the Manuscript:	Optovue Optical Coherence Tomography as an Imaging Modality for Scleral Thickness
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- The conclusion in the abstract should be condensed to the point of the research. 2- Please more details about Optovue OCT in the method section. 3- Please added more details about the inclusion and exclusion criteria.	1 – We have condensed the conclusion in the abstract accordingly (lines 15-16). 2 – We have included additional details regarding the RTVue OCT in the Methods section (lines 36-39). 3 - The only inclusion criterion was consent to participate in the study (lines 35-36). There were no exclusion criteria.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Conclusion is not sufficient	We have added to the conclusion statement (lines 89-91).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some typographical and language errors should be corrected	The revised manuscript has been professionally edited.