COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE ALKYLSULPHATASE ACTIVITIES OF BACTERIA<mark>L</mark> PROFILE FOUND IN SOIL CONTAMINATED WITH DETERGENT IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA

8 ABSTRACT

Aim: To isolate, characterize and identify detergent degrading bacteria from detergent contaminated
 soil in Ondo State, Nigeria and also to compare and quantify enzyme production and biodegrading
 potentials of each of the bacterial isolate.

12 Place and Duration of Study: Ondo state, Nigeria, between February and July, 2017.

Methodology: Detergent degrading bacteria were isolated from detergent contaminated soil samples by supplementing minimal salt media with test surfactant. The bacteria isolated were subjected to enzyme analysis to study the alkylsulphatase enzyme production/activity in relation to growth pattern.

Results: Some bacterial isolates showed remarkable potential for alkylsulphatase production. In the enzyme study, *Bacillus subtilis* (1.53 mM/min), *Pseudomonas putida* (1.36 mM/min) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (1.33 mM/min) showed better enzymatic action. *Bacillus subtilis* showed the highest enzymatic activity of 1.53 mM/min.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that *Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* can be found in soil environment polluted with detergent. They are capable of surviving the toxic effect of the pollutant and efficiently producing alkylsulphatase; thus can be employed in enzyme production. They are capable of degrading detergent as a pollutant; thus can be utilized in the bioremediation of soil environments contaminated with surfactants

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

26

Keywords: Alkylsulphatase, bioremediation, detergent, enzyme, soil.

27 28

29 INTRODUCTION

30 Soil is a mixture of minerals, organic matter, gases, liquids and countless organisms that support life on earth. Soil continually undergoes development by way of numerous physical, chemical and 31 biological processes, which include weathering with associated erosion. Soil functions as a medium 32 33 for plant growth [1]. It purifies, stores and supplies water [2], and influences distribution of plant 34 species and provides a habitat for a wide range of organisms [3]. Soil is fundamental to human life on 35 earth. Most plants requires a soil substrate to provide water and nutrients, and whether we cultivate 36 the plants directly or consume animals that feed on the plants; we don't eat without soil [3]. Soil 37 pollution is typically caused by industrial activity, chemicals used in agriculture and improper disposal of waste. Contaminants in the soil have major consequences on human health [3]. Long term 38 39 exposure to polluted soil affects the genetic makeup of the body and may cause congenital illness 40 and chronic health diseases. Detergents are one of the major pollutants found in the soil after being used mostly in laundry processes [3]. Surfactants are routinely deposited in numerous ways on land 41 and into water systems, whether as part of an intended process or as industrial and house hold waste 42 43 causing pollution [4]. They are known to be toxic to animals, ecosystems and humans, and can 44 increase the diffusion of other environmental contaminants [4]. Large quantities of surfactants are deposited in sediments and soils via sewage sludge used as fertilizers on land for farming. These 45 surfactants drastically affect different trophic levels of the food chain including microbes, 46 invertebrates, fish, plants and higher invertebrates including man [14]. Biodegradation of surfactants 47 is performed by soil or aquatic microorganisms leading to the generation of water, biomass, salts and 48 49 carbon (iv) oxide gas [5]. The alkylsulphatase enzyme produced by some microorganisms is involved 50 in the biodegradation of detergents, which hydrolyses inorganic sulphate from its ester linkage with 51 alcohols, the latter being readily assimilated through normal metabolic pathways [6].

52 This research therefore, assesses the biodegrading capabilities of bacteria isolated from soil

53 contaminated with detergents on surfactants, in Ondo State, Nigeria by comparing the alkylsulphatase

54 activities of each bacterial isolate.

55 **METHODOLOGY**

56 Collection of Samples

57 Soil samples were collected in replicates from five carwash parks; this was done in the six major

58 towns in Ondo State; Akure, Owo, Idanre, Ikare, Ondo and Ore. The samples were collected in sterile

59 containers, labelled and transported to the laboratory for Analysis.

60 Isolation of Detergent Degrading Bacteria

61 Serial dilutions were carried out on the soil samples. The serial diluted samples were inoculated onto minimal salt composition media (containing Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, Potassium dihydrogen 62 phosphate, sodium chloride, magnesium sulphate, ammonium dihydrogen phosphate, ferrous 63 sulphate and nutrient broth) supplemented with test surfactant (sodium dodecyl sulfate) at 0.01%. The 64 65 inoculated plates were incubated aerobically at 28°C for 48 hrs. At the end of the period of incubation, 66 the plates were checked for growth [7]. The cultural characteristics of pure culture were noted for bacterial characterization [8]. The bacterial isolates were subjected to Gram's reaction and 67 biochemical tests (Voges proskaeur, citrate, Indole, methyl red, oxidase and catalase) to identify the 68 69 isolates [9].

70 Determination of Alkylsulphatase Production

71 **Preparation of Enzyme Extract**

72 Minimal salt composition media was prepared in broth form and supplemented with SDS at 0.01%, 73 and it was inoculated with the bacterial isolates. The culture broth was incubated in an orbital shaker 74 at 150 rpm. Fifty millilitres of the broth culture was collected at the end of six hours, increase in optical 75 density which is an index of growth indicating the surfactant (sodium dodecyl sulphate) degradation 76 was measured by taking absorbance reading at 600nm and it was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 15 77 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was decanted off. The cell pellets at the base of the centrifugation 78 tube were collected using one millilitre (1ml) of tris buffer. The pellets were homogenized for 15 79 minutes. The homogenized pellets were then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was decanted and kept for the enzyme assay. The enzyme extraction process was repeated at the end of 80 81 every six hours [10].

82 Alkylsulphatase Enzyme Assay

83 The methylene blue active substance assay was employed here. Four hundred and fifty micro litres 84 (450 µl) of fifty millimolar (50 mM) Tris-hydrochloric acid (pH 7.5) and five hundred micro litres (500 µl) 85 of one hundred millimolar (100 mM) SDS was pipetted into a container of fifty micro litres (50 µl) of the 86 enzyme. It was then incubated for a period of time (15 minutes). One hundred micro litres (100 µl) of 87 the mixture, 9.9 ml of distilled water, two and a half millilitres (2.5 ml) of methylene blue solution and 88 one millilitre (1 ml) of chloroform was pipette into a separating funnel and shaken vigorously for 40 89 seconds. A chloroform layer was formed. The chloroform layer formed was carefully collected and the 90 absorbance which indicates the quantity of surfactant degraded was read at 600 nm. SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) is anionic in nature, and thus, they get detected by the methylene blue active 91 substance assay. Enzyme activity was assayed from the rates of SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) 92 93 elimination [10]. 94

95 Analysis of Data


Data obtained were subjected to descriptive one way analysis of variance, using SPSS version 16
 and treatment means were separated with Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

98


99 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

100


101 The detergent degrading bacteria isolated from the contaminated soil were *Xanthomonas campetris*, 102 *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas putida*, *Bacillus panthoteticus*, *Bacillus funiculus*, *Escherichia coli*, 103 *Pseudomonas haloplanktis*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus anthracis*. Some 104 of which were isolated in other related research [11] [4]. Figure 1 depicts the enzyme activity of 105 *Xanthomonas campetris* having its highest enzyme activity as 0.70 mM/min, while its optical density 106 was 0.9 at this point. Figure 2 illustrates the enzyme activity of *Pseudomonas putida* having its 107 highest enzyme activity as 1.36 mM/min, its optical density was 0.15 at this point. Figure 3 shows the enzyme activity of *Escherichia coli*, the highest enzyme activity of *Escherichia coli* was 0.70 mM/min and its optical density was 0.99 at this point. Figure 4 depicts the enzyme activity of *Bacillus subtilis* having its highest activity as 1.53 mM/min at an optical density of 1.56. Figure 5 depicts the enzyme activity of *Klebsiella oxytoca*, it was able to produce an highest enzyme activity of 0.95 mM/min at an optical density of 0.83.





114 Fig. 1. Alkylsulphatase activity (AST) of Xanthomonas campetris




117 Fig. 2. Alkylsulphatase activity (AST) of Pseudomonas putida








121 Fig. 4. Alkylsulphatase activity (AST) of Bacillus subtilis




122


123 Fig. 5. Alkylsulphatase activity (AST) of Klebsiella oxytoca

From Figure 6, *Proteus mirabilis* was able to produce an enzyme activity of 0.78 mM/min, which was the highest. The optical density at this point was 0.87. Figure 7 depicts the enzyme activity of *Bacillus cereus*, it was able to produce an enzyme activity of 1.05 mM/min, which was the highest. Its optical density at this point was 0.90. From Figure 8, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* produced an enzyme activity of 1.33 mM/min, which was its highest. The optical density was 1.68 at this point. From figure 9, *Bacillus anthracis* was able to produce an enzyme activity of 0.92 mM/min, which was its highest, while its optical density at this point was 0.60.







131



134 Fig. 7. Alkylsulphatase activity (AST) of Bacillus cereus



136 Fig. 8. Alkylsulphatase activity (AST) of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*



137

138 Fig. 9. Alkylsulphatase activity (AST) of Bacillus anthracis

139 The bacterial isolates were able to produce the alkysulphatase enzyme; possessing the mechanisms 140 to carry out biodegradation of surfactants. Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas putida and Pseudomons fluorescens were able to produce a substantial amount of the enzyme and carry out profound 141 142 degradation. In a related research, Bacillus subtilis and Bacillus cereus were analysed for their 143 capacity to degrade laundry and dish washing detergents. Bacillus subtilis showed better degradation [7]. Several Pseudomonas sp have been reported as potent SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) 144 145 degrading isolates [12] [13]. There were variations in the quantity of alkylsulphatase enzyme 146 produced by the bacterial isolates and this could be as a result of molecular mass of alkylsulfatase; 147 which is found to vary in different bacterial species and genera [14]. Some of the bacteria showed better biodegrading potentials, and this could be as a result of the genetic makeup of the 148 149 microorganisms [10]. Biodegradation of sodium dodecyl sulphate is initiated by primary or secondary 150 alkylsulphatase enzymes; which converts it to dodecanol and finally to carbon (iv) oxide and water 151 [15]. Increase in optical density was an index of microbial growth. The bacterial isolates were able to 152 survive the biocide effect of SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate) present in the growth medium due to 153 their ability to form biofilms as a survival strategy to overcome the stress of the biocide [12]. The 154 growth pattern increased with increase in enzyme production. The results suggest that bioremediation 155 by the bacterial isolates are promising for the biodegradation of surfactants as pollutants in the soil 156 environment.

157 CONCLUSION

158 The study was able to illustrate the pattern of enzyme production and activity of the various isolates 159 with respect to time and microbial growth. The study indicates an array of bacteria that could be 160 selected for the remediation of soil environment contaminated with detergent. The study indicates that 161 enzyme activity increases with time and microbial growth. It can be concluded that Bacillus subtilis, 162 Pseudomonas putida and Pseudomonas fluorescens can be found in soil environment polluted with 163 detergent. They are capable of producing alkylsulphatase; thus can be employed in enzyme 164 production. They are capable of surviving the toxic effect of the pollutant, being able to break down 165 the surfactant molecule and utilize it for their own growth; thus they can be applied in the 166 bioremediation of environments contaminated with detergent.

167 **REFERENCES**

168 1. Dominati, E., Patterson, M. and Mackay, A. A framework for classifying and quantifying the natural capital and ecosystem services of soils. Ecological Economics. 2010; 69(9):1858-68.

170 2. House, C.H., Bergmann, B.A., Stomp, A.M. and Frederick, D.J. Combining constructed wetlands

and aquatic and soil filters for reclamation and reuse of water. Ecological Engineering. 1999;12(1-2):2738.

- 3. Mishra, R.K., Mohammad, N. and Roychoudhury, N. Soil pollution: Causes, effects and control.
 Tropical Forest Research Institute. 2015; 3(1): 20-30.
- Emmanuel, E., Hanna, K., Bazin, C., Keck, G., Clement, B. and Perrodin, Y. Fate of glutaraldehyde in hospital wastewater and combined effects of glutaraldehyde and surfactants on aquatic organisms. Environment International. 2005; 31 (3): 399–406.
- Schleheck, D. ,Dong,W. and Denger, K. An Alphaproteobacterium Converts Linear
 Alkylbenzenesulfonate sulfophenylcarboxylates and linear alkyldiphenyletherdisulfonate surfactants
 into sulfodiphenylethercarboxylates. Applied and Environmental Microbiology Microbiology.2000; 66,
 1911-1916.
- 182 6. Toesch, M., Schober, M. and Faber, K. Microbial alkyl- and aryl- sulphatase: mechanism,
 183 occurrence, screening and stereoselectivities. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2014;
 184 98:1487.
- 185 7. Sushma, P., Anvita, A., Nismitha, S. and Melwyn, S. Degradation of Anionic Surfactants by 186 *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus*. Journal of pharmacy and biological science. 2012; 3(1):42-45.
- Okpokwasili, G.O. and Nwabuzor, C.N. Primary biodegradation of anionic surfactants in laundry detergents. Chemosphere. 1998.17: 2175 – 2182.
- 189 9. Fawole, M.O and Oso, B.A. Laboratory Manual of Microbiology. Spectrum Books Ltd,
- 190 Nigeria.2008; 127.
- 191 **10.** Arotupin, D.J and Yusuf, A. Bacteria Associated with Selected Rivers in Akure, Nigeria and their 192 Alkysulphatase Activity/Production. British Microbiology Research Journal. 2016; 13(6):1-7.
- 193 **11.** Ojo, O.A. and Oso, B.A. Isolation and characterization of synthetic detergent-degraders from wastewater. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2008; 7: 3753 3760.
- 12. Klebensberger, J., Rui, O., Fritz, E., Schink, B. and Philipp, B. Cell aggregation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PAO1 as an energy-dependent stress response during growth with sodium dodecyl
 sulfate. Archives of microbiology. 2006; 185(6): 417-427.
- 198 13. Ambily, P.S and Jisha, M.S. Biodegradation of anionic surfactant, sodium dodecyl sulphate by 199 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MTCC 10311. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2012; 33(4): 717-720.
- 14. John, E.M., Rebello, S., Asok, A.K. and Jisha, M.S. *Pseudomonas plecoglossicida* S5, a novel
 nonpathogenic isolate for sodium dodecyl sulfate degradation. Environmental chemistry letters. 2015;
 13(1): 117-123.
- 15. Chaturvedi, V. and Kumar, A. Bacterial utilization of sodium dodecyl sulfate. International Journal
 of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Tech. 2010; 1:1126-1131.
- 205
- 206
- 207
- 208
- 209