



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_45565
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE <i>mecA</i> GENE INVASION RATE OF THE GRAM POSITIVE BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM THE PATIENTS WITH OTITIS
Type of Article:	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
See the revised manuscript for corrections. In the final statement of your "Results" section, you have still not told your readers if the results are significant or not. If you cannot tell us this, then what was the rational of doing statistical analysis? You may allow it in the Tables, but remove it in the write-up if you can't explain anything about the significance and it's implication. In the first statement of your second to last paragraph in your "Discussion section" you wrote There are limited reports of methicillin-resistant <i>S. aureus</i> isolated from patients with otitis in studies worldwide. It is not possible that you have access and have collected research reports from around the world to know this. I have suggested a way to fine tune this in the review box of the manuscript. Advisory comment: You need to download a tutorial on "how to write a discussion section", read it and practice it. This will aid you in future write-ups. [For every paragraph in your discussion section, except the first paragraph, there must be comparison between what other researchers got and what you got. You don't just state it. Do your results agree with what they got or the results are in variance? If they are in variance, give possible scientific reasons which you could attribute to the different methodology from the different researches. In the first paragraph, give a brief introduction to the problem, and give a short explanation on the procedure you used in trying to solve the problem.] Good luck.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peekate Lekiah Pedro
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria