



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_43167
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE ALKYL SULPHATASE ACTIVITIES OF BACTERIA FOUND IN SOIL CONTAMINATED WITH DETERGENT IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This article presents methodology which is unclear and results that are poorly documented. Missing information in lines 61-66:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What kind of diluent was used? - Composition on minimal salt media? - What kind of test surfactant was used? <p>Figures are not clear for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - They show time – time of what? Is it incubation time, or time of reaction mixture? - What is "control"? Control for bacterial growth (OD)? Line 71 says that broth cultures were collected at the end of 12 h? <p>Authors gave no information on: - how many isolates were tested, what kind of morphological, biochemical and physiological tests were used?</p> <p>Bacillus anthracis is a dangerous bacterium!</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous Reviewer
Department, University & Country	Pl. Cultivation, Poland