



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>                                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_MRJI_45565                                                                                                  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION OF THE mecA GENE INVASION RATE OF THE GRAM POSITIVE BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM THE PATIENTS WITH OTITIS |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                                                |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | See the comments on the reviewed word document.<br><br>The last paragraph in the Discussion and Conclusion section which is your Conclusion should be rewritten.<br>In the Conclusion you should (1) state why your topic is important, (2) Elaborate on the significance of your findings, (3) State how the findings of your work can be applied in the "real" world, and (4) Suggest other ways in which the problem you tried to solve can be tackle.<br><br>NOTE: rate has to do with changes over time. So when you keep on saying resistance rate, was there a time factor in your investigations? If so please tell us the duration. | 1. I've reviewed all your comments and made my corrections.<br>2. I wrote the last paragraph again as you wish.<br>3. I have renewed the article again by evaluating the appropriateness of all the stages.<br>4. We did not divide the time zone into the annual follow-up. |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | You need to develop on your written English. There are software and online sites available for reviewing and proof reading English written works. This will reduce the stress load of English paraphrasing on your reviewers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Thank you for your evaluation. You contributed a great deal to the article. I will continue to work on English translation.                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |