



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_45559
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION OF RESULT OF BLOOD CULTURE AND C REACTIVE PROTEIN TEST IN NEONATAL SEPTICEMIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Line 66, This study was conducted in 2013 and is going to be published only now. It would be good to state in the methods if this was a prospective study as it seems to be from the description, or a retrospective data review study. The author must elaborate a bit on the procedure of blood collection. Was this for the purpose of the study or part of routine care for neonate suspected with sepsis? 2. The author should at least write a sentence on study population and sample under material and Method 3. Line 86 to 90, please refer to the comment box to address grammatical errors and sequencing of word in the sentence. Consider rewriting the sentence to convey the intended message (see comment box) and address grammatical issues. 4. Line 109. To be rewritten, see comment box 5. Please a statement on ethical related issue is not made at all. The author must state if this study was exempted from the REC oversight and the reason why it was exempted. And if the he obtained the waiver to document parental consent.	1. Yes study was conducted during 2013 only and yes now its going to be published. This study is Retropective type. We received sample from the clinician and we conduct the study 2. In our study we took 300 samples. 3. I have made changes . 4. I erase the repetition. 5. there is no ethical related issue. This study was conducted during residency time for study purpose. No



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are lot of repetition/duplication of sentences and grammatical and typing errors that need to be address (see comment boxes in the review format) but also here highlighted: 1. Line 19 to 20, repetition to be erased 2. Line 46, add space 3. Line 48, dot to be deleted (see comments) 4. Line 53, add space 5. Line 63, reduce space 6. Line 68, Dot at the end of the sentence 7. Line 86 to 90, please refer to the comment box to address grammatical errors and sequencing of word in the sentence. 8. Line 98, see comments in the comments' box 9. Line 99, check if this is not a repetition 10. Line 100, past tense (we identified!) 11. Line 102 same as point 10 12. Line 108, omission of "the". 13. Line 115; repetition to be erased	--- Revision has been done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	The author seems to have collected blood sample for a study in neonates, but does not state if informed parental consent was obtained, or if there was a waiver to document parental consent in case the blood collection was part of standard care. And if the study was exempted from the REC oversight. Even if it was, the author normally must state in one sentence why it was exempted and this must be clearly seen under material and methods.	This study was conducted during residency period. This study was done in microbiology lab of tertiary care hospital. In tertiary care hospital we received so many sample of blood culture. But for study purpose we select time period of 6 month.. Samples were received in our laboratory from neonatal icu. Clinician sent this sample of suspected cases of septicaemia to us.