



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_45193
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and identification of microbial deteriogens of fresh tomatoes stored at ambient temperature
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion may be needed	
Minor REVISION comments	In an enumeration of fungi, some bacteria or yeasts may grow in saboraud dextrose agar. How do you overcome with? How to calculate the percentage could be explained in the method section. What does the frequency (Table 4) mean to this experiment?	During preparation of the Saboraud dextrose agar, antibiotics(Gentamycin and chloramphenicol) was added to inhibit bacterial growth. My major criticism against the work presented in this manuscript is that the authors use morphological characters to describes fungal species when we know that such characteristics could fit others species from the same genera; for example, the black spores of <i>Aspergillus niger</i> has several species that may be confused including <i>A. tubingensis</i> , <i>A. carbonarius</i> , and <i>A. awamori</i> . Molecular tools are necessary to describe species or let the species until Genera (<i>Aspergillus</i> spp, <i>Rhizopus</i> spp., <i>Sacharomyces</i> spp, etc. The frequency enables easy calculation.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	