



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_39953
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON EMERGING AND REEMERGING INFECTIOUS DISEASES AND THEIR ORIGINS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Overall it was a good effort. However I feel there is nothing new in this article that will draw my interest towards it. I think the material shared in this article is something we all are familiar with and it does not contribute new to my knowledge.	Sir, it will be beneficial to our young generation more especially in the field of microbiology as well as the biosciences students across the globe, as it has been summarized .
Minor REVISION comments	1)Line 8 where it starts I feel that should be modified as the opening is very dull when you say "emerging diseases are emerging diseases.." 2)line 22 and 23 requires some reference and also justify what makes you think that it can increase in future. 3)SARS have not been focussed where as AIDS have been given importance so kindly either add material to it or remove information from AIDS to have all diseases have same information.	Ok sir, Emerging infectious diseases are diseases. Line 22 and 23(Morens and Folkens,2008). SARS, has been removed as a sub head
Optional/General comments	See above	