



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_41345
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial and Antifungal Effect of Cinnamon
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author wrote a review about antibacterial and antifungal effect of cinnamon using article found in different databases. The author cites many proven effects on cinnamon articles like antibacterial, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, antitermitic, nematocidal, among others.	
Minor REVISION comments	During the abstract, I suggest putting examples of biological effects of cinnamon found in the literature. The author wrote a review so the methodology can be withdrawn. The phytochemical analysis of cinnamon I suggest putting it right after the chemical constituents item. Cite the scientific names of cinnamon in the text, especially in the introduction. When <i>Cinnamomun</i> genus was cited the first time wrote it in full (table 1). As the paper reviews the antibacterial effect of cinnamon I believe that the subject can be better explain and not just the bacteria being cited. In addition, when citing bacteria and fungi that have an effect when exposed to cinnamon extract or oil, I suggest that put bacterial name it once and place all references, or else cite more information from the article you are referring to, so it does not appear to be repeating information. In line 87 <i>Listeria</i> is written with the letter in lowercase. Table 3 put legend, in addition to referencing what means the +, ++ and - in the table. In line 111 begins a paragraph with the citation of several fungi, but does not have any information together, explain better the reason of these fungi in this paragraph. There are acronyms in the text that are not used, so I believe they can be taken from the text. Improve the formatting part of the text. There are minor typos in the text. Check English.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daisy Machado
Department, University & Country	Department of Pharmaceutical Science, UNICAMP, Brazil