

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_38516
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-Coccidiosis Potential of Heat Antimicrobial Peptides from Xenorhabdus budapestensis Resistant to Proteolytic (Pe studies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Pepsin, Trypsin) Digestion based on in vitro



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if and highlight that part in should write his/her fee
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Objective of the study is not clear Study design unclear- priority can give to the methods rather than persons. EMC liquid cultures and obtained sterile CFCM and tested in all but 11 LH isolates(All but 11 LH not understandable) Methodology should be mention the methods employed in the present study. [2] (Gruenheid et al., 2012) The journal format not followed. No need to mention the author et.al number is enough. The output of the work is really appreciated but the results were presented very poor. Need to present it proper way. 	
	Plagiarism Issue-	
	11 % found by using turnitin plagiarism software.	
	test	
	25 The second s	
	2 Van Immerseel, F "Rethinking our understanding of the pathogenesis of necrotic enteritis in chickens", Trends in Microbiology, 200901 1% 2 Van Immerseel, F "Rethinking our understanding of the pathogenesis of necrotic enteritis in chickens", Trends in Microbiology, 200901 1%	
Minor REVISION comments	Z ²⁷ · Xuelino Zheno. Xiumin Wano. Da Teno. Ruovu 1	
Minor REVISION Comments	 Line 212 to 228, mention about the center from where the isolates were collected is enough. No need to mention the names of all the professors who helped to get the strains. If author interested can mention about their support at acknowledgment. Avoid lot of citations at discussion which may impact on the novelty of the work. 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagaraja Suryadevara
Department, University & Country	Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, MAHSA University, Malaysia

(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript rt in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors reedback here)