



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_41090
Title of the Manuscript:	Dengue virus infection among suspected yellow fever patients in Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study was done on stored serum, It could be better if surveillance was done from population directly, also, the sample population was too small; the number of case is not enough as an indicator of disease in this study. There is a limitation in study that RT-PCR could not confirm the ELISA positive cases hence could not distinguish the Dengue serotypes.	This study was carried out to verify if we had had cases of dengue in the past and also to rule out degue in yellow fever suspected samples that tested negative for yellow fever. Further studies will be done on lager number on the population to overcome the current limitation of RT-PCT that could not confirm ELISA positive cases.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		