



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_38516
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-Coccidiosis Potential of Heat Tolerant Antimicrobial Peptides from Xenorhabdus budapestensis Resistant to Proteolytic (Pepsin, Trypsin) Digestion based on in vitro studies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract must be rewritten because it is not clear. References are not in the format required Please read for typos and grammar Declaration of competing interest and Author's contribution are missing.	Thank you very much for the thoughtful review. I accept each comment and re-wrote the Abstract. I put each references into the format required. I red and corrected the typos and grammar as much as I could. I added the Declaration of Competing Interest and Author's Contribution which had been missing,
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		I made the minor revisions, concerning especially Discussion and Conclusion
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Many thanks. Once again