



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_38280
Title of the Manuscript:	The fallacy of identification by neutralization in the light of cytopathic effect non-producing enterovirus strains.
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Very interesting study. Need images on PCR to view. (only)	The authors deeply appreciate the reviewer's perspective. Again, the authors deeply appreciate the reviewer's perspective. Of course, there is no way we can generate nucleotide sequencing results without gel electrophoresis and utilising its results. However, we are of the opinion that nucleotide sequencing result is more accurate and consequently, supersedes gel pictures. Hence, since nucleotide sequencing results have been presented in this manuscript, we see no need to present gel pictures.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		