



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_23733
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic Identification, Plasmid Profiling and Detection of Resistance Genes in Klebsiella sp. from Urine Samples of In- and Out-Patients in Ile-Ife.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study involved aspects of Microbiology and hospital infection control. The manuscript is excellent, well written, interesting and important for microbiology researchers and Publics Health and Hospital managers, although there are some suggestions to be done. 1. Some of the keywords used do not follow any index descriptors in public health, as BIREME, MeSH, NLM or UMLS (eg. "in and out patient"), and they should be changed. 2. The title of the manuscript could add the country of study (Ex: "Phenotypic Identification, Plasmid Profiling and Detection of Resistance $\square$ Genes in <i>Klebsiella</i> sp. from Urine Samples of In- and Out-Patients in Ile-Ife, Nigeria") 3. The topic "Materials and Methods" should get one more item (eg. "Ethical consideration").	1. The title of the manuscript have been changed to "Phenotypic Identification and Detection <i>Bla</i>CTX and <i>Tet</i>M Genes in <i>Klebsiella</i> sp. from Urine Samples of In-Patients and Out-Patients in Ile-Ife, Nigeria". 2. Informed consent and ethical approval have been included in the "Materials and Methods".
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. I suggest increasing the text of the discussion and put some new items like: a)limitations of the study; b)Other researches <u>OR</u> introduce one more item like Conclusions.	The text of the discussion have been increased. Conclusion and limitation of the study have been included.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article is well written, and after the changes suggested, it's gonna be suitable for publication	