

Original Research Article

In Vitro Screening of Antibacterial and Antioxidant Activities of Essential oils from four Moroccan Medicinal Plants

ABSTRACT

Aims: Evaluation of antibacterial and antioxidant activities of essential oils extracted from *Salvia officinalis*, *Mentha viridis*, *Eucalyptus globulus* and *Myrtus communis* from Ouezzane province

Study Design: *In vitro* evaluation of antibacterial and antioxidant activities of medicinal plants essential oils (EOs).

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Biology (Faculty of Sciences), July, 2015 to September, 2016 (15 Months).

Methodology: Essential oils were extracted by hydrodistillation method, while agar well diffusion, microdilution and spectrophotometry methods were used to evaluate the antibacterial and antioxidant activities respectively.

Results: The yields of EOs are 0.9, 1.2, 2.5, and 2.1 % for *M. communis*, *E. globulus*, *M. viridis*, and *S. officinalis* respectively. EOs showed significant antibacterial activities against test bacterial strains: *Staphylococcus aureus* CECT 976, *Staphylococcus aureus* CECT 994, *Listeria monocytogenes* serovar 4b CECT 4032, *proteus mirabilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* MBLA, *Escherichia coli* K12, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus subtilis* 6633. *Salvia officinalis* EO was more active than the rest EOs on the test bacteria and exhibited the highest zone of inhibition (23 mm) against *B. subtilis* bacterial, while *P. aeruginosa* was the most resistant bacterial strain. *S. officinalis* and *M. communis* EO showed the effectiveness minimum inhibitory concentration at MIC=0.5 % (v/v) against *L. monocytogenes* and *P. mirabilis*. The antioxidant results indicated that *M. communis* and *S. officinalis* possess the ability to scavenge DPPH radicals. Their IC₅₀ Values of 0.24 and 0.46 mg/ml respectively, suggest their antioxidant capacity compared to reference drugs IC₅₀ value (IC₅₀=0.027 mg/ml for ascorbic acid and IC₅₀=0.043 mg/ml for Trolox).

Conclusion: Our study showed that apart from the local uses of the plants extracts, the EOs of *S. officinalis*, *M. viridis*, *E. globulus* and *M. communis* plants possess strong antibacterial and antioxidant properties and may be useful as food preservatives.

Key words: Essential oil, antibacterial activity, antioxidant activity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The resistance to antibacterial agents increases dramatically and becomes a major problem of health and economy, eradicating the discovery of antibiotics and their use in clinical medicine. Today, the bacterial resistance to antibiotics emerges in an accelerated way since their introduction into clinical use [1]. Indeed, bacterial resistance correlates positively with the use of antibacterial drugs in clinical practice [1, 2].

Because, each use of an antibiotic may increase the selective pressure of a bacterial population thus enabling the survival of resistant bacteria and dead others that remain sensitive. Practically, we can arrive to a bacterial population that may resist resist-to all available antibiotics. Multi-drug resistance has been

found in some pathogens bacterial strains such as *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus* VRSA and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [4, 5].

Oxidative stress is a phenomenon of chemical imbalance between the oxidized molecules and those reduced [6]. The result is the regeneration of free radicals including superoxide radical and hydrogen peroxide that are often shown as initiators involved in the pathogenesis of several diseases such as cancer, atherosclerosis, diabetes, etc. Synthetic antioxidants have often shown adverse effects on human health beyond their desired beneficial [7], hence the search for natural alternatives to overcome bacterial resistance and undesirable some effects of synthetic antioxidants is necessary. Medicinal and aromatic plants are rich in secondary metabolites that can be used as antibacterial agents as well as and effective antioxidants agents [8]. EOs are volatile substances of very diverse chemical structures [9]. They are now regarded as a potential source of molecules with multiple biological activities. In recent times, Many-some essential oil scientists have showed the antibacterial and antioxidant properties of some plants EOs [8, 9, 10]. The antibacterial and antioxidant activities of essential oils and their chemical compositions are related to the botanical origin of the plant and the method of extraction used [11].

Morocco has a Mediterranean climate with his ecological and economic interest in aromatic and medicinal plants [12]. Some of these plants have showed several pharmacological properties in previous studies [13, 14, 15]. However, Moreover, in the province of Ouezzane (North-West of Morocco) despite is the very abundance rich-in of aromatic and medicinal plants, there is dearth of information of their bioactivity and economic value and that are poorly used and less valued. Indeed, there are only few studies undertaken by our laboratory for pharmacological enhancement of extracts of some plants in this region [16, 17, 18, 19]. In this context, we conducted a preliminary study for. Therefore, we aimed in this study screening to evaluate the antibacterial and antioxidant activities of essential oils from above medicinal plants selected and based on ethno-botanical study.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Plant material and extraction procedure

Medicinal plants were collected from Ouezzane province (Table 1). The identification was done by Pr. ENNABILI Abdesalam (National Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Taounate, Morocco). The collected materials were air dried at room temperature ($\approx 23^{\circ}\text{C}$) in the shade and subjected to hydrodistillation, using a Clevenger-type apparatus for 3 h until total recovery of oil. The preparation extraction of the essential oils was performed three times (3×150 g) and oils were dried with anhydrous sodium sulphate, weighed and stored at 4°C until use. The EO yield is determined from the dry matter. Yields are expressed in ml /100 g of dry matter.

$$R = \text{Pb}/\text{Pa} * 100$$

R: oil yield in %; Pb: weight of oil g; Pa: plant weight in g.

2.2. Antibacterial activity

2.2.1. Bacteria strains

To evaluate the antibacterial activity of essential oils, we ~~have~~ used the following bacteria: *E. coli* K12 and *S. aureus* MBLA (Laboratory of Food Microbiology, UCL, Belgium: MBLA), *S. aureus* CECT 976, *S. aureus* CECT 994, *L. monocytogenes* serovar 4b CECT 4032 and *P. mirabilis* (Spanish Type Culture Collection: CECT), *P. aeruginosa* IH (Institute of hygiene, Rabat, Morocco: IH) and *B. subtilis* 6633 (German Collection of Microorganisms: DSM). Strains are maintained on an inclined agar medium at 4°C (conserved in Lysogeny broth agar medium: LB agar). Before use, the bacteria were revived by two subcultures in an appropriate culture medium (Lysogeny broth liquid medium) at 37°C for 18 to 24h. For the test, final inoculum concentration was adjusted to 10^6 CFU/ml.

2.2.2. Agar-well diffusion assay

The principle of this technique is to estimate the bacteriostatic activity of the essential oils by measuring the growth inhibition zone of germs around wells. It is mostly used in a preliminary step to further study because it provides access to essentially qualitative results. We have adapted ~~to~~ the ~~same~~ method previously ~~used~~ described by [15, 16]. Briefly, a basal layer was prepared by Muller-Hinton agar. After the agar plates were solidified, sterile 8 mm diameter cylinders were deposited. Six ~~ml~~-mL of LB medium in superfusion containing 0.8% agar were inoculated by a fresh culture of indicator bacterial strain (a final concentration was 10^6 CFU mL⁻¹). After solidification, the wells were filled with 50 µl of essential oil. After incubation at appropriate temperature (37°C) for 24 h, all plates were examined for any zone of ~~growth~~ inhibition, and the diameter of these zones was measured in millimeters. The experiment was carried out thrice and each test was performed in triplicate.

2.2.3. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

MIC were determined using the broth microdilution assay, as previously described [15, 16, 17]. Briefly, ~~agar was used at 0.15% (w/v) as stabilizer of the oil–water mixture and resazurin as bacterial growth indicator.~~ First, 50 µl of Mueller Hinton Broth (Oxoid; UK) supplemented with bacteriological agar (0.15% w/v) was distributed from the 2nd to the 12th well of a 96-well polypropylene microtitre plate (Costar; Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA). A dilution of the essential oil was prepared in Mueller Hinton Broth supplemented with bacteriological agar (0.15% w/v), to reach a final concentration of 16%; 100 µl of these suspensions was added to the first test well of each microtitre line, and then 50 µl of scalar dilution was transferred from the 2nd to the 11th well. The 12th well was considered as growth control, because no essential oil was added. We then added 50 µl of a bacterial suspension to each well at a final concentration of approximately 10^6 CFU/ml. The final concentration of the essential oil was between 8 and 0,125% (v/v). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 h. After incubation, 10 µl of resazurin was added to each well to assess bacterial growth. After further incubation at 37°C for 2 h, the MIC was determined

as the lowest essential oil concentration that prevented a change in résazurine colour. Bacterial growth was detected by reduction in blue dye resazurin to pink resorufin. A control was carried out to ensure that, at the concentrations tested, the essential oil did not cause a colour change in the resazurin. Experiments were performed in triplicate, and modal values were selected.

2.2.4. Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

MBC corresponded to the lowest concentration of the essential oil yielding negative subcultures after incubation at appropriate temperature for 24 h. It is determined in broth dilution tests by sub-culturing 10 µl from negative wells on plate count agar (PCA) medium. The experiment was carried out thrice and each test was performed in triplicate.

2.3. Antioxidant Activity

2.3.1. DPPH radical scavenging capacity assay

The ability of the plant extracts to scavenge DPPH (2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) free radicals was assessed using the standard method [35]. In brief, Aliquots (3 mL) of various concentrations (0.1; 0.25; 0.5; 1; 2.5 mg/ mL mg/ml) of the essential oils samples ~~diluted~~ prepared in methanol were added to 1ml of a 0.004 % methanolic solution of DPPH. After an incubation period of 30 min in dark at 23 ± 2 °C, the absorbance was recorded against a blank at 517 nm with a spectrophotometer. Absorption of a blank sample containing the same amount of methanol and DPPH solution acted as the control. Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Abs (blank)} - \text{Abs (sample)}}{\text{Abs (blank)}} \times 100$$

Where Abs (blank) is the absorbance of the control and Abs (sample) is the absorbance of the sample. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control and the concentration providing 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) was calculated from the graph of inhibition percentage plotted against the essential oil concentration.

Data Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed by a one-way ANOVA analysis of variance followed by Duncan's test, and results were considered to be statistically significant with a 95% confidence level ($p < 0.05$). The measurements of total phenolic compounds, total flavonoids, DPPH radical scavenging activity, and antibacterial activity were carried out for three replicates. The results are expressed as mean ± SD.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Essential oil yield

The percentage of water content in the fresh plant materials are 67.3, 37.29, 32.08 and 26.41 % for *S. officinalis*, *M. viridis*, *M. communis* and *E. globule* respectively. To carry out the hydrodistillation, the dry

material ~~is~~ was placed in a water-distiller with a water / plant material. The **Table 2** summarizes the average yields of extracted essential oil. Yields are significantly varied between the four plants. The highest yield was recorded in *M. viridis* 2.5%, while *M. communis* ~~showed had the weakest lowest~~ yield ~~.performance-~~.

3.2. Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of four essential oils was assessed against eight bacterial strains by using Agar well diffusion method. The results are expressed as zones of inhibition ~~as shown in Table 3. Table 3 shows the results obtained. They show that~~. The results suggest that the essential oils from the four plants may have ~~interesting~~ significant antibacterial activity against bacterial strains tested (**Table 3**). This activity is depending on the essential oil ($p < 0.05$) and the nature of strain tested ($p < 0.05$). The ~~difference in diameters~~ variation of inhibition could be due mainly to the difference in the chemical composition of EO and ~~presence of~~ major compounds (**Table 1**). The ~~greatest value~~ highest ~~of the~~ inhibition zone (43 mm) ~~is was~~ obtained with the essential oil of *S. officinalis* against *B. subtilis*. On other hand, *P. mirabilis* appears the most ~~sensitive~~ active to the bacteria tested, whereas *P. aeruginosa* is the most resistant to essential oils tested. In general, Gram negative bacterial strains were more resistant than the Gram positive bacteria [16, 17, 18, 36, 37]. The resistance of Gram- bacteria ~~is allocated may~~ ~~due be~~ to the character of their hydrophylique membrane which blocks the permeation of hydrophobic molecules such as phenolic compounds [38]. For example, the cell wall of *E. coli* is rich in lipopolysaccharides (LPS) that prevent hydrophobic molecules to pass through the membrane [39].

The evaluation of minimum inhibitory concentration and the minimum bactericidal concentration of plants essential oils against the most sensitive bacterial; *S. aureus*, *L. monocytogenes* and *P. mirabilis*; was carried out using microdilution assay (**Table 4**). The low MICs values (MIC=0.5%) were showed for *S. officinalis* essential oil against *L. monocytogenes* and for *M. communis* essential oil against *P. mirabilis*. While, *E. globulis* EO ~~was less active is the inactive~~ against test bacteria. The antibacterial activity of these essential oils has been reported in some studies. The myrtle oil showed a significant antibacterial effect against several pathogenic strains (??). This effect ~~was may be~~ attributed to the presence of compounds such as Myrtenyl acetate, 1, 8-cineol, α -pinene and linalool [40]. Another work carried out by Nabavizadeh et al. revealed the bacteriostatic and bactericidal ability of this essential oil [41]. The essential oil of *S. officinalis* was reported be effective against certain bacterial strains [42, 43, 44]. The essential oil of *E. globulis* and *M. viridis* has also been shown active against pathogenic bacteria by previous studies [29, 45, 46, 47].

3.3. Antioxidant activity

The results of the antioxidant activity tested by scavenging DPPH radical of essential oils are shown in **Table 5**. They are expressed in scavenging percentage of DPPH radical. At the highest concentration (2.5 mg / ml), EOs from four plants (*S. officinalis*, *M. communis*, *E. globulis* and *M. viridis*) have proved a

capacity reduction of DPPH free radical with percentages of trapping 87.26 ± 1.32 , 98.05 ± 2.12 , 53.29 ± 1.27 and 44.22 ± 0.74 mg/ml respectively.

However this activity remains below that of ascorbic acid used as a positive control ($p < 0.05$). For each EO, the ability to reduce the DPPH radical is concentration dependent. Therefore, the calculation of the effective concentration which reduces by 50% the initial concentration of DPPH (IC_{50}) is desirable to express the antiradical activity of EOs studied (**Table 5**). This capacity was determined from the graph plotted of inhibition capacity (AA in %) against extracts and standards concentrations, by using linear regression equations. The value of the antioxidant capacity 50 is inversely proportional to the antiradical effectiveness of the product tested. It is clear that the essential oil of *M. communis* showed great efficiency in reducing the DPPH radical ($IC_{50}=0.24$ mg/ml). This is followed by essential oil of *S. officinalis* with an IC_{50} of 0.46 mg/ml. This high activity may be explained by the presence in these two essential oils for a large proportion of phenolic compounds with antioxidant activity. These different activities are indeed related to the nature and proportion of the active compounds present in the different oils. The DPPH assay is a very common spectrophotometric method to determine the activity of any antioxidant. The advantage of this method is that the antioxidant activity is measured at ambient temperature, and thus, the risk of the thermal degradation of the molecule tested is eliminated [48]. Free-radical scavenging is one of the known mechanisms by which antioxidants inhibit lipid oxidation [49]. Our results are in consensus with others studies demonstrating the antioxidant activity of *M. viridis*, *S. officinalis*, *M. communis*, and *E. globulus* EOs [42, 45, 47, 50].

Conclusion

Our study showed that apart from the local uses of the plants extracts, the EOs of *S. officinalis*, *M. viridis*, *E. globulus* and *M. communis* plants poses strong antibacterial and antioxidant properties and may be useful as food preservatives. Further study is however vital to identify and isolate the active compounds in these EOs.

CONSENT

Not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Not applicable.

REFERENCES

1. Palumbi S.R. Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force. Science. 2001; 293: 1786-1790.
2. Bronzwaer S.L., et al. A European study on the relationship between antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance. Emerg Infect Dis. 2002; 8: 278-282.

- 185 3. Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with
186 resistance: a cross-national database study. *Lancet*. 2005 ; 365 : 579-587.
- 187 4. Giedraitiene A, Vitkauskienė A, Naginiene R., Pavilonis A. et al. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms of
188 clinically important bacteria. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2011; 47: 137-146.
- 189 5. Alekshun M.N, Levy S.B. Molecular mechanisms of antibacterial multidrug resistance. *Cell*. 2007; 12:
190 1037-1050.
- 191 6. Birben E, Sahiner UM, Sackesen C, Erzurum S, Kalavci O. Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Defense.
192 *World Allergy Organ*. 2012 ; 5: 9–19.
- 193 7. Djeridane A, Yousfi M, Brunel JM, Stocker P. Isolation and characterization of a new steroid derivative
194 as a powerful antioxidant from *Cleome arabica* in screening the in vitro antioxidant capacity of 18
195 Algerian medicinal plants. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2010; 48: 2599-2606.
- 196 8. Bouyahya A, Bensaid M, Bakri Y, Dakka N, 2016. Phytochemistry and Ethnopharmacology of *Ficus*
197 *carica*. *International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review*. 2016; 14: 1-12.
- 198 9. Bouyahya A, Abrini J, Bakri Y, Dakka N. Les huiles essentielles comme agents anticancéreux:
199 Actualité sur le mode d'action. *Phytothérapie*. 2016; 14. DOI: 10.1007/s10298-016-1058-z.
- 200 10. Bouyahya A, Jamal A, Edaoudi F, Et- Touys A, Bakri Y, Dakka N. *Origanum compactum* Benth: A
201 review on phytochemistry and pharmacological properties. *Medicinal and Aromatic Plants*. 2016; 5.
202 DOI: 10.4172/2167-0412.1000252.
- 203 11. Goodner KL, Mahattanatawee K, Plotto A, Sotomayor J.A, Jordàn M.J. Aromatic profiles of *Thymus*
204 *hyemalis* and Spanish *T. vulgaris* essential oils by GC–MS/GC–O. *Ind. Crop Prod*. 2006 ; 24 : 264-
205 268.
- 206 12. Benabid A (2000). Flore et écosystème du Maroc. Evaluation et préservation de la biodiversité.
207 (pp.154). Paris: Ibiss Press.
- 208 13. Talbaoui A, Jamaly N, Aneb M, Il Idrissi A, Bouksaim M, Gmouh S, Amzazi S, El Moussaouiti M,
209 Benjouad A, Bakri Y. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oils from six
210 Moroccan plants. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*. 2012; 6: 4593-4600.
- 211 14. Aneb M, Talbaoui A, Bouyahya A, EL Boury H, Amzazi S, Benjouad A, Dakka N, Bakri Y. In vitro
212 cytotoxic effects and antibacterial activity of moroccan medicinal plants *Aristolochia longa* and
213 *Lavandula multifida*. *European Journal of Medicinal Plants*. 2016; 16: 1-13.
- 214 15. Bouyahya A., Abrini J., Elbaoboua A., Bakri Y., Dakka N. Determination of phenol content and
215 antibacterial activity of five medicinal plants ethanolic extracts from North-West of Morocco. *J. Plant*
216 *Pathol Microbiol*. 2016; 7: 107-110.
- 217 16. Bouyahya A, El Moussaoui N, Abrini J, Bakri Y, Dakka N. Determination of Phenolic Contents,
218 Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of Strawberry Tree (*Arbutus unedo* L.) Leaf Extracts. *British*
219 *Biotechnology Journal*. 2016; 14: 1-10.
- 220 17. Bouyahya A, Abrini J, Khay EO, Charfi S., Boujida N, EL Harsal A, Talbaoui A, ET-Touys A, Bakri Y,
221 Dakka N. *In vitro* Antibacterial of Organic Extracts from North-West Moroccan Medicinal Plant
222 *Myrtus communis* (L.). *Biotechnology Journal International*. 2016; 16: 1-9.
- 223 18. Et-Touys A, Fellah H, Mniouil M, Bouyahya A, Dakka N, Abdennebi EH, Sadak A, Bakri Y. Screening
224 of Antioxidant, Antibacterial and Antileishmanial Activities of *Salvia officinalis* L. Extracts from
225 Morocco. *British Microbiology Research Journal*. 2016; 16: 1-10.
- 226 19. Eddouks M, Maghrani M, Lemhadri A, Ouahidi M.L, Jouad H. Ethnopharmacological survey of
227 medicinal plants used for the treatment of diabetes mellitus, hypertension and cardiac diseases in
228 the south-east region of Morocco (Tafilalet). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2002; 82: 97-103.

- 229 20. EL Hamsas EL Youbi A, Ouahidi I, EL Mansouri L, Daoudi A, Bousta D. Ethnopharmacological
230 Survey of Plants Used for Immunological Diseases in Four Regions of Morocco. *European Journal*
231 *of medicinal plants*. 2016 ; 13: 1-24.
- 232 21. El-Hilaly J, Hmammouchi M, Lyoussi B. Ethnobotanical studies and economic evaluation of medicinal
233 plants in Taounate province (Northern Morocco). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2003; 86: 149-
234 158.
- 235 22. Fakchich J, Elachouri M. Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants used by people in Oriental
236 Morocco to manage various ailments. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2014; 28: 76-87.
- 237 23. Lakhal H, Ghorab H, Chibani S, Kabouche A, Semra Z, Smati F, Abuhamdah S, Kabouche Z.
238 Chemical composition and biological activities of the essential oil of *Salvia officinalis* from Batna
239 (Algeria). *Der Pharmacia Lettre*. 2013; 5: 310-314.
- 240 24. Banafsheh N, Habib G, Amir R, Samira S, Saeed M, *In vitro* anti-leishmanial activity of methanolic
241 extracts of *Calendula officinalis* flowers, *Datura stramonium* seeds, and *Salvia officinalis* leaves.
242 *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*. 2014; 12: 423-427.
- 243 25. Amkaddem M, Bouajila J, Ennajar M, Lebrihi M, Mathieu F, Romdhane A. Chemical Composition
244 and Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Activities of *Mentha (longifolia* L. and *viridis*) Essential Oils.
245 *Journal of food science*. 2009; 74. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2009.01272.x.
- 246 26. Guzmán P, Fernández V, Graça J, Cabral V , Kayali N, Khayet M, Gil L,
247 Chemical and structural analysis of *Eucalyptus globulus* and *E.camaldulensis* leaf cuticles: a lipidized
248 cell wall region. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2014. 5. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00481.
- 249 27. Bachir Raho G, Benali M, Antibacterial activity of the essential oils from the leaves of *Eucalyptus*
250 *globulus* against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed*. 2012; 2:
251 739-742.
- 252 28. Jouad A, Maghrani M, El Hassani R. H, Ed-douks M. Hypoglycemic Activity of Aqueous Extract of *E.*
253 *globulus* in Normal and Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Rats, *J. Herbs, Sp. and Med. Plts*. 2003;
254 10: 462-464.
- 255 29. Kalembe D, Kunicka A. Antibacterial and antifungal properties of essential oils. *Curr Med Chem*.
256 2003; 10: 213-229.
- 257 30. Othman A, Ismail A, Ghani NA, Adenan I. Antioxidant capacity and phenolic content of cocoa beans.
258 *Food Chem*. 2007; 100: 1523-1530.
- 259 31. Shan B, Cai YZ, Brooks JD, Corke H. The in vitro antibacterial activity of dietary spice and medicinal
260 herb extracts. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2007; 117: 112-129.
- 261 32. Amensour M, Sendra E, Abrini J, Bouhdid S, Pérez-Alvarez JA, Fernández-López J. Total Phenolic
262 Content and Antioxidant Activity of Myrtle (*Myrtus communis*) Extracts. *Natural Product*
263 *Communications*. 2009; 4: 819-824.
- 264 33. Hayder N, Abdelwahed A, Kilani S, Ammar RB, Mahnoud A, Ghedira K, Anti-genotoxic and free-
265 radical scavenging activities of extracts from (Tunisian) *Myrtus communis*. *Mutation Research*.
266 2004; 564: 89-95.
- 267 34. Sepici A, Gurbuz I, Cevik C, Yesilada E. Hypoglycaemic effects of myrtle oil in normal and alloxan-
268 diabetic rabbits. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2004; 93: 311-318.
- 269 35. Kubola J, Siriamornpun S. Phenolic content and antioxidant activities of bitter gourd (*Momordica*
270 *charantia* L.) leaf stem and fruit fraction extracts *in vitro*. *Food Chem*. 2008; 110: 881-890.
- 271 36. Lv F, Liang H, Yuan Q, Li C. *In vitro* antimicrobial effects and mechanism of action of selected plant
272 essential oil combinations against four food-related microorganisms. *Food Res. Int*. 2011; 44:
273 3057-3064.

37. Wan J, Wilcock A, Coventry MJ. The effect of essential oils of basil on the growth of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *J Appl Microbiol*. 1998; 84: 152-158.
38. Inouye S, Yamaguchi H, Takizawa T. Screening of the antibacterial effects of variety of essential oils on respiratory tract pathogens, using a modified dilution assay method. *J Infect. Chemother*. 2011; 7: 251-254.
39. Sharif S, Singh M, Kim SJ, Schaefer J. *Staphylococcus aureus* peptidoglycan tertiary structure from carbon-13 spin diffusion. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131: 7023-7030.
40. Ben Hsouna A, Hamdi N, Miladi R, Abdelkafi S. *Myrtus communis* essential oil: chemical composition and antimicrobial activities against food spoilage pathogens. *Chem Biodiver*. 2014; 11: 571-580.
41. Nabavisedh M., Gholami A, Sheikhi R, Shokouhi M, Shams MS, Ghasemi Y. Chemical constituent and antimicrobial effect of essential oil from *Myrtus communis* leaves on microorganisms involved in persistent endodontic infection compared to two common endodontic irrigants: An *in vitro* study. *J. Conserv Dent*. 2014; 17: 449-453.
42. Bozin B, Mimica-Dukic N, Samajlik I, Jovin E. Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of rosemary and sage (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L. and *Salvia officinalis* L., Lamiaceae) essential oils. *J. Agric. Food. Chem*. 2007; 55: 7879-7885.
43. Khalil R, Li Z.G. Antimicrobial activity of essential oil of *Salvia officinalis* L. collected in Syria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2011; 10: 8397-8402.
44. Abu-Darwish M.S, Ferreira IV, Cavaleiro C, Cruz MT, Al-bdour TH, Salgueiro L. Essential Oil of Common Sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) from Jordan: Assessment of Safety in Mammalian Cells and Its Antifungal and Anti-Inflammatory potential. *Bio Med Research International*. 2013; 2013: 538940-538949.
45. Luís D, Neiva D, Pereira H, Gominho J, Dominique F, Duarte AP. Stumps of *Eucalyptus globulus* as a Source of Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Polyphenols. *Molecules*. 2014; 19: 16428-16446.
46. Siqueira Mota V., Teresa Turrini RN., de Brito Poveda V. Antimicrobial activity of *Eucalyptus globulus* oil, xylitol and papain: a pilot study. *Rev Esc Enferm.USP*. 2015; 49: 215-219.
47. Silva LF, Cardoso MG, Batista LR, Gomes MS, Rodrigues LMA, et al. Chemical Characterization, Antibacterial and Antioxidant Activities of Essential Oils of *Mentha viridis* L. and *Mentha pulegium* L. (L). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2015, 6, 666-675.
48. Bondet V, Brand-Williams W, Berset C (1997). Kinetics and mechanisms of antioxidant activity using the DPPH free radical method. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol* 30: 609–615.
49. Dvorakova M, Moreira M.M, Dostalek P, Skulilova Z, Guido L.F, Barros A.A (2008) Characterization of monomeric and oligomeric flavan-3-ols from barley and malt by liquid chromatography–ultraviolet detection–electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1189: 398–405.
50. Petretto GL, Maldini M, Addis R, Chessa M, Foddai M, Rourke JP, Pintore G. Variability of chemical composition and antioxidant activity of essential oils between *Myrtus communis* var. *Leucocarpa* DC and var. *Melanocarpa* DC. *Food Chem*. 2016; 197: 124-131.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

319
320

Table 1: Plants used, family, common names, place of collection, part collected and some ethnopharmacological properties of plants tested

Plants species (family)	Trivial name	Place of collection	Part plant collected	Traditional use	Phytochemistry	Pharmacological properties
<i>Salvia officinalis</i> L. (Lamiaceae)	Salmia	Zoumi	Flowering tops	Cardiac disease, hypertension and diabetes [20] Asthma and Inflammation [21] Chill, rheumatism and cough [22] Diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and pathologies of the digestive system [23]	Polyphenols, flavonoids [19] α -Thujone, camphor, α -pinene, β -thujone, α -thujone, 1,8-cineole, camphor and viridiflorol [24]	Antioxidant, antibacterial and anti-leishmanial activities [18, 23, 24]
<i>Mentha viridis</i> L. (Lamiaceae)	Na'naa	Ain Beida	Flowering tops	Cold, system digestive [22] Pathologies of the urinary system, pathologies of the respiratory system and dermocosmotology [23]	Carvone, 1,8-cineole, limonene [26]	Antibacterial activity of essential oil [13]
<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> L. (Myrtaceae)	Kellito	Bni kolla	Leaves	Diabetes [20], Asthma [21]	9-Epoxy-18-hydroxyoctadecanoic acid 9,10,18-Trihydroxyoctadecanoic acid 9(7-10),16-Dihydroxyhexadecanoic acid	Antibacterial [28] and hypoglycemic activity [29]
<i>Myrtus communis</i> L. (Lamiaceae)	Rihan	Moukrisset	Leaves	Cardiac disease, hypertension and diabetes [20], Cardiac weakness, digestive system [22], Pathologies of the digestive system allergy and diabetes [23]	α -Pinene, limonene, myrcene, <i>p</i> -cymene, α -caryophyllene germacrene-D, gallic acid, caffeic acid, syringic acid, vanillic acid, verulic acid [31, 32]	Antioxidant activity [33] Antibacterial activity [17] Anti-genotoxic effect [34]

321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330

331

Table 2: Humidity of plants tested and their yield of essential oil

Species	% of humidity	Yield (%)
<i>Salvia officinalis</i> (L.)	67.32	2.1
<i>Mentha viridis</i> (L.)	37.29	2.5
<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> (L.)	26.41	1.2
<i>Myrtus communis</i> (L.)	32.08	0.9

332

333
334

Table 3: Antibacterial activity of four essential oils against strains tested

Bacterial strains	Inhibition zones diameter* (mm)			
	<i>M. viridis</i>	<i>S. officinalis</i>	<i>E. globulis</i>	<i>M. communis</i>
<i>S. aureus</i> MBLA	18±1.34	14±0.81	13±0.46	11±0.34
<i>S. aureus</i> 976	09±1.9	07±0.6	8±2.33	08±1.3
<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	21±3.11	10±1.55	9±1.42	14±0.89
<i>S. aureus</i> 994	07±0.66	NA	07±0.98	NA
<i>B. subtilis</i> 6633	15±0.80	23±0.00	NA	NA
<i>E. coli</i> K12	09±0.65	NA	NA	NA
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> IH	NA	NA	NA	09±0.75
<i>P. mirabilis</i>	19±0.41	16±0.83	14±3.21	21±2.23

*Diameter of inhibition zone produced around the well. The values are the average of three replicates and the final cell density is about 10⁶ CFU/ml.
NA: no active

335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348

Table 4: Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

	<i>M. viridis</i>		<i>S. officinalis</i>		<i>E. globulis</i>		<i>M. communis</i>	
	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
<i>S. aureus</i> MBLA	4	>8	2	2	>8	>8	1	2
<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	1	4	0.5	2	4	4	2	4
<i>P. mirabilis</i>	4	>8	2	8	8	>8	0.5	1

MIC: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

MBC: Minimum Bactericidal Concentration

Density is about 10^6 CFU/ml

Table 5: Antioxidant properties of Ascorbic acid and essential oils from *S. officinalis*, *M. viridis*, *E. globulis* and *M. communis* as determined by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical-scavenging.

Essential oils	Antiradical activity (%)						IC ₅₀ (mg/ml)
<i>S. officinalis</i>	EO concentration (mg/ml)	0.1	0.25	0.5	1	2.5	0.46
	Scavenging effect on DPPH (%)	23,25	31,73	53,94	67,49	87,26	
<i>M. viridis</i>	EO concentration (mg/ml)	0.1	0.25	0.5	1	2.5	> 2.5
	Scavenging effect on DPPH (%)	3,05	9,37	23,25	31,75	44,22	
<i>E. globulus</i>	EO concentration (mg/ml)	0.1	0.25	0.5	1	2.5	2.37
	Scavenging effect on DPPH (%)	5,53	8,35	16,75	26,398	53,29	
<i>M. communis</i>	EO concentration (mg/ml)	0.1	0.25	0.5	1	2.5	0.24
	Scavenging effect on DPPH (%)	29,23	53,244	67,234	93	96,05	
Ascorbic acid	Ascorbic acid concentration (µg/ml)	30	60	125	250	500	0.027
	Scavenging effect on DPPH (%)	97,32	97.23	98.42	97.87	98.52	

Trolox	Ascorbic acid concentration (µg/ml)	30	60	125	250	500	0.043
	Scavenging effect on DPPH (%)	61.47	98.38	97.59	98.35	98.18	

UNDER PEER REVIEW