



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_36459
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial activity of actinomycetes isolated from waste dump soil in Western Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Introduction: shows its problem and the object of study clearly • Methodology: sample used for fermentation "Culture of actinomycetes " is of total of 22 waste dump soil samples collected or is the sample of the primary, specify. If the samples are classified or grouped they notify in the methodology • Results: correlation between percentage colonies distribution with percentage moisture and negative weak correlation with temperature, data not shown. • Tables could be improved	• 22 waste dump soil samples were collected and 56 actinomycetes isolates were isolated and screened for antibacterial activity. • The results of correlation between percentage colonies distribution with percentage moisture and negative weak correlation with temperature were added. • Tables were improved. • Reference were homogenised according to journal guideline



SDI Review Form 1.6

	• Homogenize the presentation of the references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general it is a work that shows a clear contribution in the description of actinomycetes with antibacterial activity. The work is very complete and there is only a little order in the description of the results. If it were possible to add more information about other actinomycetes that have antibacterial potential with greater depth.	The order of results description was improved