



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_35995
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of soluble inducible T cell co stimulator (sICOS) as a prognostic biomarker for patients with chronic hepatitis C Virus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title is a brief phase that describes the contents of manuscript. The subject of manuscript illustrate novel marker which is important for assessment of hepatitis C patients. The written of abstract should be rewritten as the manner is very poor. The introduction is very little not enough illustrate the title also it does not give explanation of sICOS. Results of routine lab examination and sICOS should be decribed well. The description have a lot of repeated sentences. Discussion has a lot of grammerical errors. The references are so little and the references 3,5,6,9 ,15 ,16, 18, 20. Although , the work is acceptable but need more work in rewritten	-introduction had been increased with more data on sICOS - references had been increased with correction - data of the results had been rephrased -abstract had been corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is accepted after major revision	