



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                          |                                                                                      |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_MRJI_35974                                                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | SEROPREVALENCE OF BRUCELLOSIS IN GOATS IN LUDHIANA DISTRICT OF PUNJAB STATE OF INDIA |
| Type of the Article      |                                                                                      |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>1. Abstract:</b> Please add a short conclusion, explaining the importance of the obtained results.</p> <p><b>2. Keywords:</b> I think they have to be changed, using more suitable, attractive and informative words not mentioned in the manuscript title, for example: Zoonosis, MAT, cELISA, serological assays, antibodies.</p> <p><b>3. Introduction:</b> Please include bibliographic references for the first, second and fourth introductory sentences (see lines 16-17, 17-18, 19-20, respectively).</p> <p><b>4. Materials and Methods:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Please add information about the sensibility and specificity percentages reported for the Svanovir Brucella Antibody cELISA Kit (Svanova).</li> <li>Please rewrite the description of the MAT method (lines 48-51). It is not very clear to me and looks literally similar as the description reported in one doctoral thesis in Ludhiana (Qureshi, S. (2014) Studies on the development of a DIVA assay for Haemorrhagic Septicaemia. Pp 71).</li> <li>Please include a statistical analysis or data analysis section: It is vital for a scientific publication and it would be helpful for the readers to understand the obtained data, specially the non-significant results.</li> </ul> <p><b>5. Discussion:</b> The information contained in paragraphs between lines 98-120 is very descriptive and match better with the "Results" section than the "Discussion" section. It is important to add more bibliographic references comparing, discussing or highlighting the data you obtained in your study.</p> <p><b>6. Conclusion:</b> It is too short. Please add some lines explaining the importance of your investigation for the caprine community in</p> | <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript</p> <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript</p> <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript</p> <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript (see discussion)</p> <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript</p> <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript</p> <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript</p> <p>Needful has been done in revised manuscript</p> |



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                             |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                  | <p>Ludhiana, which serodiagnostic assay(s) can be used in the future for the detection and prevention of brucellosis in goats from Ludhiana, which recommendations you can give according to the obtained data and if further studies are required.</p> <p><b>7. Tables:</b> I suggest the authors not to include inside vertical borders for the publication of tables in a scientific journal.</p>                | Needful has been done in revised manuscript |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   | Line 54: Please remove "named". If is necessary please rewrite the sentence.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Needful has been done in revised manuscript |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments | The manuscript " <b>SEROPREVALENCE OF BRUCELLOSIS IN GOATS IN LUDHIANA DISTRICT OF PUNJAB STATE OF INDIA</b> " is largely descriptive and attempts to characterize the different diagnostic techniques available in Ludhiana, India for the detection of caprine brucellosis. The results are interesting. However, there are some questions that the authors need to address and details that should be corrected. |                                             |