



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Microbiology Research Journal International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_33323
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Characterization and Antibiotic Susceptibility of Mycoplasma hominis and Ureaplasma urealyticum from Infertile Women in Lagos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ETHICAL ISSUE: 1. Authors should mention that the participants signed informed consent.	All the participants signed the informed consent form.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Names of microorganisms should be written in italics. Briefly explain the PCR method, thermal profiles, primers, band size, photos? Etc. Mention as a limitation of the study that the number of pregnant women is lower than that of infertile women. There are incomplete references, correct. If possible, update references. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Names of the microorganisms have been rewritten in italics. The PCR method has been explained. The number of pregnant women was lower than the infertile women and that is a limitation. References are now complete and updated.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general the study is interesting and useful.	Thank you for the constructive criticisms.