



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_32654
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROORGANISMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PRODUCTION OF A NIGERIAN FERMENTED BEVERAGE, 'AGADAGIDI'
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Line 23: unit sfu/ml ? please make correction. - Line 32: Keywords need to be rewrite, it should not be lengthy. - Materials and Methods: 2.1: There is a chance of contamination of the product from the container used in the preparation. Instead of giving recommendation authors need to sanitized the container before using to prevent the cross contamination. How do you explain the finding are the reliable number of microorganisms? - Lines 107-109/ 125/129/134/139/150: Statistical results should be presented clearly below the graph, table or within the graph. It would be better for the reader to understand the research paper clearly. But in this paper I did not find the proper way of presentation. - Author mention bacterial load/population. It is better to present homogeneous manner so that there is no confusion for the reader. - Line 159. Leuconostoc mesenteroides L. Plantarum : there should be comma between the two organisms. - I humbly request the authors to go in detail and rewrite the paper before submitting.	Corrections will be effected. It was actually sterilized, both the container and the muslin cloths used for sieving. It would be replaced with word 'sterilized'. Not all tables needs to be presented with statistical results. For others, corrections have been made. Unless I do not understand what you mean Noted and will be corrected Noted, correction will be effected Necessary effects will be taken
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		