



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_32067
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROFUNGI ASSOCIATED WITH SUN DRIED Rastrineobola argentea SOLD IN GUCHA SOUTH DISTRICT IN KENYA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is within the scope of the journal. The work is relevant, original and will contribute to the scientific community. The writing is good, the methods very well detailed and showing care in the preparation. However, some corrections should be conducted to further improve the quality of the manuscript presented. The considerations are described below.	Thank you for the comments. We agree with the review. For the corrections identified we have addressed them all.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The considerations are: - Put Keywords below of the abstract. - The Figure "Plate 2: Colony of Fusarium sp." Was not mentioned in the manuscript. - In Discussion: Bukola et al. Put the year. - In Discussion.... (Wu, 2010))... remove the parentheses.	We have addressed all the minor revisions and highlighted them in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Few errors to correct.	We appreciate for the minor corrections and they have all been addressed