



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_31241
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Activity of Emilia pratermissa Leaf Extract on Organisms Isolated from Patients with Otitis Media attending Federal Medical Centre Owo and Ondo States Specialist Hospital Akure.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work is relevant, original and will contribute to the scientific community. The writing is good, the methods very well detailed and showing care in the preparation. However, some corrections should be conducted to further improve the quality of the manuscript presented. The considerations are described below.	
Minor REVISION comments	All corrections are highlighted in the manuscript to help you. The considerations are: - Abstract: insert end point after 17.33 mm. ... and remove the comma after activity... - Abstract: The MIC of extracts ranged from 3.125mg/ml to 12.5mg/ml... is for bacteria or fungi? - Put brackets in all references; for example: [1]. - Correct the word: ... arelost - Separate the words: ... (Burkil, 2004). In Nigeria. - Put "Liquid" with a lower case. - Put "The" with a lowercase. - Suggestion: put (200g and 100g). In the same paragraph, what's the amount of water used for 200g and 100g? - Correct the space between: ... chocolate agar and incubated... - In 2.2.2 Isolation of fungal: put Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA)... - In 2.3 Test Isolates: put the full name of all microorganisms. - In 2.4 Antibacterial activity: correct ... into into...	Noted. Inputted as appropriate Noted. Inputted as appropriate Noted. Inputted as appropriate Noted. Inputted as appropriate Noted. Inputted as appropriate Noted. Inputted as appropriate Noted. Inputted as appropriate Noted. Inputted as appropriate



SDI Review Form 1.6

	- In 2.4 Antibacterial activity: correct the word... re-constituting... - In 2.4 Antibacterial activity: correct the word.. The plates... (lowercase). - In 2.5 Antifungal screening of plant extracts of Solanum nigrum. Suggestion: put millimetres and ketoconazole... - In 2.5.1 Antifungal sensitivity test: remove potato dextrose agar and put ... PDA plates with... - In 2.5.1 Antifungal sensitivity test: correct.... 50ml/ml... - In Table1 put: Antibacterial activity (mm) - In table 2 put: Antifungal activity (mm) - Start the paragraph with: The MIC tests... - In Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, in the legend: remove Minimum Inhibitory Concentration and put only MIC, and put the words... Methanolic, Cold and Hot in lowercase.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is necessary to correct the errors of this manuscript.	Noted. Inputted as appropriate