



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_31091
Title of the Manuscript:	BARBING EQUIPMENTS: TOOLS FOR TRANSMISSION OF TINEA CAPITIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	How many samples did you analyse? There is a difference between sample size that you have mentioned in abstracts(90 samples) but in article(150 samples) How did you differentiate the <i>T. soudanense</i> and <i>T. tonsurans</i>? Only microscopic finding is not sufficient for species differentiation. So, you have to elaborate the process that differentiate the spp. All aspergillus spp. that were isolated from tinea capitis clinically significant or contaminant, how did you differentiate whether they are just contaminant or pathogenic?	All corrections are highlighted yellow while deleted sections are highlighted pink. Line 11: One hundred and fifty samples were analysed. Line 111-114: Invitro hair perforation test and urease test was used to differentiate <i>T. soudanense</i> and <i>T. Tonsurans</i>. <i>Trichophyton soudanense</i> is usually urease negative and hair perforation test negative while <i>T. Tonsurans</i> is urease positive and hair perforation test variable. Line236-240: we were not ble to differentiate between <i>Aspergillus</i> species that could be pathogenic and the saprophytes because of the limitation of multiple sampling due to the uncertainty of when each customer will return for another barbing.
Minor REVISION comments	So, many grammatical error, please correct. Please, correct the species name as well eg ??? Malazessia or Malassezia Tables are very unclear, please rearrange the tables. You can write in this way Eg. N(%)= 50 (60%), but u mentioned as 50(60)	The correct spelling is <i>Malassezia</i>
Optional/General comments	You can add some macroscopic and microscopic pictures of fungus that were isolated in your study	