



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_31091
Title of the Manuscript:	BARBING EQUIPMENTS: TOOLS FOR TRANSMISSION OF TINEA CAPITIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please re-calculate the percentage in all the tables. Please re-write the references in a uniform manner as shown by me at the end of references.	All corrections are highlighted yellow while deleted sections are highlighted pink. The percentages in all the tables have been re-calculated. The format of the references has been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please write <i>Trichophyton interdigitale</i> instead of <i>Trichophyton mentagrophyte</i> . (Old name)	<i>Trichophyton mentagrophyte</i> have been corrected to <i>Trichophyton interdigitale</i> all through the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Also, incorporate the changes in percentage in results and discussion.	The changes in percentages have been incorporated in results and discussion.