



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_31091
Title of the Manuscript:	BARBING EQUIPMENTS: TOOLS FOR TRANSMISSION OF TINEA CAPITIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The methods to the species identification should be described.	All corrections are highlighted yellow while deleted sections are highlighted pink. The methods of species identification has been written from line 84-87 and lines 97-119.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some English editing is needed Prevalence rate in Central Nigeria is lower than results of this study. Some discussion about that is needed. Discussion with results in other countries would be also interesting. Line 130: Efficacy of the sterilization. Does author any idea of the periodicity of the sterilization?. At lines 144-145, the manuscript states once or twice a month. Are barbers confidence about that? What detergent and bleach concentration, time and temperature are they using? Any data?	The prevalence rates in Central Nigeria was on tinea capitis while the rates in this study was from barbing equipments. The clippers were decontaminated after every customer usage. 10% hypochlorite solution was used for sterilizing combs and brushes at room temperature. Data was not generated in this area.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Data expression in histograms instead of Tables would be helpful for readers. Line 35: Why from 2002 till date? Any important event about barbing industry occurring in 2002? Clarify please	The initial Table 1 has been converted to a histogram. Line 35: The phrase has been deleted as there is no important event about the barbing industry occurring in 2002.