



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_30967
Title of the Manuscript:	SHELF LIFE STUDIES OF CAROTENOID PIGMENTS PRODUCED FROM RHODOTORULA MINUTA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- I think that, line numbers should be added through the text. - Use italics for microorganism names. - °C, The temperatures should be written in this way throughout the manuscript. - References are out of date. In Materials and methods section; - Add the contents of " Malt Yeast Extract Agar" - Add the "Extraction of pigment" method. In Discussion; - Compare results other pigments. - What does affect the shelf life of the pigment? What are the other factors? - Suggestions should be added.	Thanks for the comments. And it helped me to improve and check my article once gain. And as per the comments incorporated the composition of " Malt Yeast Extract Agar ", "Extraction of pigment" method and References.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	There are a number of grammatical errors and instances of incorrect worded/constructed sentences.	