



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_30931
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of growth and bioactivities of probiotic bacterium <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> as influenced by lactalbumin hydrolysate and inulin supplementation in skimmed milk medium
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Methods: Preparation of cell free filtrate, I want to know if there is filtration. Methods 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8 have no references. Authors didn't write the antibiotic source Page 5: line 140 (described by E.J. Gudina et al., (2010) [17]. Should be written as Gudina et al., [17] Page 10 line 250: Henk J. Busscher <i>et al</i> (1997). Authors should follow the instruction for authors in writing references. Authors should write a discussion using more references. Most of references are before 2010. References should be revised.	1. There was no filtration. Cell free filtrate was done to release the cellular contents into the supernatant by centrifugation. To avoid misinterpretation the Cell Free Filtrate is changed to Cell Free Fraction in the manuscript 2. Since 2.5, 2.6, 2.7 are well established protocols or common techniques, we didn't include reference for those methods. 3. The source of antibiotics has been included as per the suggestion. 4. Reference style has been changed and revised according to journal's format as you mentioned. Discussion part has been revised according to your suggestion.
Optional/General comments		