SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BMRJ_30931**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Enhancement of growth and bioactivities of probiotic bacterium Streptococcus thermophilus as influenced by lactalbumin hydrolysate and inulin supplementation in skimmed milk medium**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **RESULTS/DISCUSSION**	results are accompanied by diagrams and tables but do not report any statistical data mentioned in the "Materials and Methods" chapter. How much significant are the results? This information is critical for evaluating the accuracy of the whole manuscript and especially of the conclusion	According to the comments: 1. Statistical data has been mentioned in the study. 2. Standard deviation bars were used in the graphs. 3. p-values have been included.
Minor REVISION comments INTRODUCTION	is too long and reports some unnecessary information especially concerning the first ten lines of the chapter	1. Information which are not directly related to the study have been removed
Optional/General comments		