



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_30073
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vitro Screening of Antibacterial and Antioxidant Activities of Essential oils from four Moroccan Medicinal Plants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please explain the abbreviations: Line 53: MBLA Line 54 : CECT Line 56 : DSM Lines 59 and 67: not 10^6 CFU/ml but 10^8CFU/ml Yu must always use positive controls which are antibiotics and negative control (distilled water) Lines 83 and 84: you said; you talked about the dosage of polyphenols and flavonoids but virtually your work contained neither methods nor results Lines 88 and 89: it is not important to mention the water content, since we used the dry plant. Line 96: not (I) but (i) Table 4: it is sufficient to give the IC_{50}, the other values are for the calibration curve. References This reference does not appear in the list of references: NCCLS 1999 Reference 40 is missing	These abbreviations are explained in the text. They signify the source collection of each species. They are given by English language. Done Distilled water has not shown any antibacterial activity and the strains used have been shown resistant in several early publications. I have just used other essentials oils. Done Done The other values are not for calibration curve but for calculation IC_{50} Done Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract : proteus starts with capital letter	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The discussion is low 2. The inhibition diameters of more than 15 mm should be quantified by MICs 3. It may be a short communication	I have improved the manuscript with determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MIC).