



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_43782
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Nutrition Knowledge Among University Students in Ankara
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Conclusion in abstract section should be changed because it is not based on results from abstract section.</p> <p>English language should be corrected thorough the whole manuscript.</p> <p>In Table 1. There are no data mentioned in sentence that describes the content of the Table 1.</p> <p>Conclusion of the manuscript should be based on results of the manuscript. Which Ethical Committee approved this study, please provide ethical code?</p> <p>What proportion of students in Ankara was included in this study (what is total number of students)?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Limitations of the study should be mentioned.</p> <p>When and on which faculties did the researchers conduct this study?</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivan Miškulin
Department, University & Country	University of Osijek, Croatia