



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_33061
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Toxoplasma gondii and associated risk factors among pregnant women attending hospital centers in Penka-Michel, Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT: No background statement and justification, study design should be incorporated into methodology.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: Toxoplasma on page 2, line 23 should be italicized. Line 9 of page 3 should be specific instead of specify.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY: Line 3 " carrying out experiment" Line 9, few meat not meals (in two places) Approval from ethics committee should be moved from study population to 'ethical consideration' Statements on data collection using questionnaire should be sent to a subtitle ' Data collection' Sensitivity of the method used should be stated in the methodology.</p> <p>RESULTS: Association of socio-demographic and risk factors to Toxoplasma seropositivity should be separated from seroprevalence of Toxoplasma. It should be presented with a different subheading.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comments. We have revised the manuscript according to the requested comments by following these instructions:</p> <p>ABSTRACT: Background statement and justification have been added in this section. Study design is now incorporated in the methodology.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY Approval from ethics committee is now in ethical statement Data collection subtitle is now present The sensitivity of the method has been stated.</p> <p>RESULTS Association of socio-demographic and risk factors to <i>Toxoplasma</i> seropositivity and seroprevalence of <i>Toxoplasma</i> are now presented in different subheading. All correction on grammatical oversight had been made as suggested by the reviewer. Each comment point or change is in yellow color in the revised manuscript. Please check it.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Well written	