



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_33061
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Toxoplasma gondii and associated risk factors among pregnant women attending hospital centers in Penka-Michel, Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Please change the conclusions, meeting the objectives of the study:</p> <p>What was the the seroprevalence of <i>Toxoplasma gondii</i> specify IgG antibodies among pregnant women? and what are the predisposing risk factors for toxoplasmosis in Penka-Michel?</p> <p>conclusion needs to be changed, corrections on English grammar and implement discussion on why well educated women showed a high prevalence of <i>T. Gondii</i></p>	<p>Thank you for the comments.</p> <p>The conclusion has been change according to the requested comments.</p> <p>Discussion section:</p> <p>The plausible reason why well educated women showed a high prevalence of <i>T gondii</i> have been given in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>Each comment point or change is in yellow color in the revised manuscript. Please check it.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript needs some minor English corrections	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript showed interesting new facts, like the higher level of toxoplasmosis seroprevalence within well educated women, but this topic should be a little bit more discussed in the paper.	