



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_40038
Title of the Manuscript:	Completeness and Accuracy of Health Information in Hospital Reports - North Kordofan State- Sudan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify Who is responsible for recording information in Medical record Identify whose responsibility to check quality of data entered in the medical record Why incomplete entry in the medical record Is there a quality dept exists in the Hospital Why surgeons are reluctant in recording surgical procedures Who is responsible for monitoring quality indicators Is there any audit is happening at what period of frequency including crude death rate Why this issue is not taken to the higher authorities Why personnel at the medical record dept is so sluggish Identifying solution to solve the addressed problem in very critical which helps to the hospital to solve their problem 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The elements presented in the results should needs to have written little elaborately including the presence of resource personnel. In general the reported results stats that none of the personnel are trained in quality documentation. Whether higher authorities are known to this matter, why it is not brought to the management level. Why so poor in recording, no person available at all/ multitasking/no interest in doing etc.. <p>It seems that no quality is exists!</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Objective of the manuscript is really good	
----------------------------------	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manickam Tamilselvi
Department, University & Country	India